

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/528,742	10/06/2005	Deborah Addison	JJM0620USPCT	3713
28977 7590 05/27/2009 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER	
1701 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2921			JACKSON, BRANDON LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/528,742	ADDISON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BRANDON JACKSON	3772	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHOPTENED STATILITORY DEDICTION FOR DEDICTION SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS

Ctatu		

WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  se the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 April	<u>2009</u> .
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This act	tion is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims	
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-15 is/are pending in the applic	ation.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn	from consideration.
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
	Claim(s) 1-2 and 5-15 is/are rejected.	
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.
Applicati	ion Papers	
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ed or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	wing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
_		is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents h</li> </ol>	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	
		documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (F	* "
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received.
Attachmen	it(s)	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

U.S.	Patent and	Trade	nark Offic
PT	OL-326 (	Rev.	(80-80

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

6) Other:

Art Unit: 3772

#### DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to amendments/arguments filed 4/16/2009.

Currently, claims 1-2 and 5-15 are pending in the instant application.

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/16/2009 has been entered.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Page 4, filed 4/16/2009, with respect to claim 1-2 and 5-15 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of 7/24/2008 has been withdrawn. The rejection is withdrawn because Kirkwood is excluded under 103(c).

## Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant should say "no part of the therapeutic agent contact the wound surface after the device has been applied to the wound" because any structurally equivalent device would teach that

Art Unit: 3772

limitation because the therapeutic agent would not contact the wound surface if it is merely not applied to a wound. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. How the aperture is opened by the wound exudate, but not contacting the therapeutic agent even though the point of the of the device is to have the apertures opened by the exudate so the therapeutic agent may pass into the wound to treat it is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). Applicant states that the apertures are opened by exudate and the therapeutic agent is made liquid by the exudate in order to pass through the aperture to the wound surface to treat it. Applicant needs to further explain how this ma happen while maintaining that no part of the therapeutic agent contacts the wound surface after the apertures are opened.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3772

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
   Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-2 and 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinecke (US Patent 4,499,896) in view of Arnold (U.S. Patent 5,759,570). Heinecke discloses a wound treatment device (15) comprising a water impermeable (cols. 5-6, lines 60-7) envelope (19) having one aperture (18) in the envelope (19), wherein the aperture is between .01 and 1 sq-cm (col. 10, lines 31-32). The envelope (19) is formed of flexible sheet material because it has to expand and contract with the amount of fluid in the envelope (19). Heinecke fails to disclose a degradable material blocking the apertures, a therapeutic agent behind the degradable material and dispersed in a bioerodile substance, and a microorganism-impermeable container. Arnold discloses a wound dressing (1) comprising a water-impermeable envelope (col. 3, lines 49-54). The envelope contains a slow release therapeutic substance (col. 5, lines 4-6) that is released in the presence of wound fluid (col. 4, lines 22-25). The layer containing the therapeutic substance, dispersed on the wound contact layer, is degradable or bio-absorbable and contains collagen and glycosaminoglycans (col. 4,

Art Unit: 3772

lines 52-53), which also provides a substrate for the enzymes to act upon. The wound dressing (1) also contains microbioside, such as chlorhexidine and maintains a sterile environment for the wound (col. 4, lines 10-14). The wound dressing (1) container is impermeable to micro-organisms (col. 3, lines 58-60). No portion of the therapeutic substance (col. 5, lines 4-6) contacts that wound surface when the dressing is not applied to a wound. Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Heinecke device to have therapeutic agent and degradable material blocking the aperture, as taught by Arnold, in order to allow therapeutic agent to be released into the wound, which aids in the rapid healthy healing of the wound. The therapeutic agent (col. 5, lines 4-6) would be larger than the aperture, as taught by Heinecke, because the substance defines a whole layer of the device.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRANDON JACKSON whose telephone number is (571)272-3414. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571)272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,742 Page 6

Art Unit: 3772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brandon Jackson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3772

BLJ

/Michael Brown/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3772